

The Impact of Elective Surgery Postponement during COVID-19 on Emergency Bellwether **Procedures in Singapore**

Sze Ling Chan, HSRC/Duke-NUS Alwin Yaoxian Zhang, NCCS Sean Shao Wei Lam, HSRC/Duke-NUS Vijaya Rao, SDGHI Devendra Kanagalingam, SGH/NCCS Hiang Khoon Tan, SGH/NCCS Pierce Kah Hoe Chow, SGH/NCCS Sachin Mathur, SGH/NCCS



Introduction			Resu	ults	
 Elective surgery postponement was a 	125-	Pre-COVID	EP Recovery Post-recovery	Figure 1: Actual (po and segmented neg	ints, joined by thin lines) ative binomial regression

- Johennenit was a common measure taken during the COVID-19 pandemic to conserve hospital resources and manpower¹
- However, such measures might lead to collateral damage for patients' health, function and quality of life²

Bellwether procedures³

- Caesarean section (CS), emergency laparotomy (EL) and open fracture (OF) fixation
- Used as indicators of the adequacy of systems, resources and skills needed to treat a broader range of essential surgical conditions



model predicted volumes (thick solid lines) of bellwether procedures over time. The dotted lines are the counterfactual predicted volumes if elective postponement (EP) (and the resultant recovery and post-recovery periods) did not occur. Median monthly counts in each time period are indicated above plot for each procedure.

Emergency Laparotomy (EL)

- Monthly volume of EL increased by 43% (95% CI: 23 – 67%) and 48% (95% CI: 21 – 80%) in the recovery and post-recovery period, respectively
- Outcomes were better from the EP period onwards

Emergency Caesarean section (CS)

• No significant change in monthly volumes

Aim

To assess the impact of elective surgery postponement policy on surgical volumes and patient outcomes for the emergency bellwether procedures in SGH

Methodology

Characteristic		Pre-Covid	EP	Recovery	Post-	P value
					recovery	
EL, n		1895	287	560	1144	
	LOS (days), median (range)	6 (0 – 332)	5 (1 – 155)	6 (1 – 234)	6 (0 – 280)	0.011
	ICU admission, n (%)	311 (16.4)	31 (10.8)	65 (11.6)	156 (13.6)	0.004
	30-day mortality, n (%)	117 (6.2)	10 (3.5)	19 (3.4)	55 (4.8)	0.024
Emergency CS, n		768	113	188	327	
	LOS (days), median (range)	4 (1 – 36)	4 (1 – 15)	4 (1 – 41)	3 (2 – 70)	4.96 x 10 ⁻⁵
	ICU admission, n (%)	4 (0.5)	0	1 (0.5)	2 (0.6)	1
	30-day mortality, n (%)	0	0	0	0	
Emergency OF fixation, n		169	21	39	70	
	LOS (days), median (range)	4 (0 – 155)	3 (1 - 61)	4 (1 – 59)	4 (0 – 97)	0.614
	ICU admission, n (%)	5 (3.0)	1 (4.8)	0	0	0.212

0

0

EP: elective postponement, ICU: intensive care unit, LOS: length of stay

2 (1.2)

• No significant differences in clinical outcomes except for a decrease in LOS during the post-recovery period

Emergency open fracture fixations (OF)

- No significant change in monthly volumes
- No significant differences in clinical outcomes

- Singapore General Hospital
- Retrospective cohort study of patients who underwent CS, EL and OF fixation between 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2021
- Data

Setting

• SingHealth Electronic Health Intelligence System (eHIntS) • Demographics, visit details, operation scheduling, peri-operative details, diagnosis and discharge disposition extracted

Conclusion

- Elective surgery postponement in the early COVID-19 pandemic did not affect volumes of emergency CS and OF fixations but led to an increase in volume in EL after the postponement
- Outcomes were not worse throughout the entire period

0

Source

Exposure

Outcomes

tatistica

Analysis

• Pre-COVID (Jan 2018 – Jan 2020), Elective postponement (EP) (Feb – May 2020), Recovery (Jun – Nov 2020), Post-recovery (Dec 2020 – Dec 2021)

30-day mortality, n (%)

• Monthly surgical volume, length of stay (LOS), intensive care unit (ICU) admission, 30-day mortality

• Monthly surgical volume across 4 time periods

• Kruskal Wallis test

• Segmented negative binomial regression models (to evaluate change in volume of EP, recovery and post-recovery compared to the pre-COVID period)

- Clinical outcomes across 4 time periods
- Kruskal Wallis test or chi-square test as appropriate

Valuable for healthcare systems to invest in data capture systems to track relevant outcomes in real time should such policies be implemented in future crises again to understand the impact and therefore adjust strategies in a timelier fashion

References / Acknowledgements

O'Rielly C et al. BMJ Open 2021;11(6):e043966. doi:10.1136/BMJOPEN-2020-043966 Søreide K *et al. Br J Surg* 2020;107(10):1250-1261. doi:10.1002/bjs.11670 O'Neill KM *et al. World J Surg* 2016;40(11):2611-2619. doi:10.1007/S00268-016-3614-Y

This work was supported by Singapore General Hospital (FRGR01PNDM20). We thank our colleagues from Integrated Health Information Systems (IHIS) for assistance with data extraction, Ms Ginny Chen for her administrative support and Mr Jia Sheng Guan for his analytic support.