To Reduce the Rate of Breakage of Periodontal Probe during the Central Sterile Service Department (CSSD) Sterilisation Process

Singapore Healthcare Management 2021

NC Koh Loo Ee SN Jesminder Kaur, SEN Mazilina Senin, SEN Gwen Kok Choon Kuen & HCA Lee Ah Geok



National Dental Centre Singapore

1. BACKGROUND

The perio probe is a common dental instrument which is used widely throughout the clinic levels. Due to its thin tapering end, it has a tendency to chip off or break off at the distal end.

The point of breakage can be unpredictable when the tip chipped off, making it difficult and time consuming for CSSD staff to check for breakages. On average, the cost of perio probe is \$18 per piece. The perio probe is used for patient examination and assessment

1a. Historical data on Perio probe breakages

The monthly breakages numbers reported in CSSD includes all instruments that suffer breakages in 2 scenarios.

1. Breakage happens in clinic.

Month (2019)	No. of breakages
January	55
February	58
March	65





2. OBJECTIVE

To reduce rate of periodontal probe breakage that happens within the sterilisation workflow in CSSD by 50% in 6 months time.

3. Methodology

Project team utilise process mapping to study instrument flow and identify high risk areas that could cause equipment breakage. Fishbone diagram is then used to brainstorm for root causes of probe breakages.

Interventions implemented includes modification of sterillisation trays to minimise contact between equipment during sterillisation, as well as briefings to instill awareness among CSSD staff on proper handling of probes in sterillisation process.

2. Breakage happens within the CSSD sterilization process.

59 April 60 May



If an instrument is found broken or faulty, it will be condemned and

replaced. The replacement of broken perio probe would typically take 15 mins, however, if there are no existing stock, replacement lead time could take up to 3 months.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN – PDSA 2

Root cause:

Breakage of perio probe due to instruments colliding with each other :

Mixture of heavy and fine instruments in the same tray.



IMPLEMENTATION PLAN – PDSA 1

Root cause:

Not able to identify at which point in the sterilisation workflow did the instrument breakage occur.



(1) Dirty Instrument receiving station

Intervention:

checks for Incorporate instrument breakages at 2 additional check points.

- 1. Dirty instrument receiving station
- 2. After washer station

4. RESULTS



(1)Perio probe that is received in broken condition, in the dirty instrument receiving station will be marked with rubber band. (2)Perio probe that is found broken after washer station will be segregated without rubber band.

(2) After washer station

Over crowding of instruments in one tray. Intervention:

Segregate the Perio Probe from other instruments onto tray for washing.

To dedicate the space on the silicone mat for putting of sharp instruments.

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN – PDSA 3

Root cause: The tip of the Perio Probe is not adequately protected.

Increase the width of the

5. CONCLUSION

silicone mat for placing

instrument on tray for

Intervention:

packing.

Before:

The width of silicone mat used to hold perio probe in place in the washer rack is too narrow. In the process of transporting, perio probe may poke out of perforated holes and is prone to breakage.



After: Silicone mat with broader width protects the tip of perio probe from breakage.

Weekly Number of Instruments Broken within CSSD Sterilisation workflow



Before After • The baseline median weekly • Post intervention, the median weekly breakage showed improvement, and is breakages happening in reduced to 2 cases per week. CSSD is 9 cases per week. Additional check before and after washing of Broken instruments that is instruments helps to pin-point sterilisation found in CSSD is condemned and replaced steps that pose higher risk to the instruments. These steps are redesigned to without distinguishing the source of breakage. mitigate breakage risks.

Anualised replacement cost is \$8,424.

• Annualised replacement cost is \$1,872. Replacement cost would be reduced by 77.8%, with \$6,552 projected savings annually.