


ePASS – An Electronic Pre-Anaesthesia Self-Screening Questionnaire to Reduce Face-to-Face Consultations at the SGH Pre-Admission Centre

Singapore Healthcare Management 2021 Eileen Sim Yilin, Hairil Rizal Bin Abdullah, Ng Kai Lee, Zhu Lulu, Goh Ze Wei, Reanne Lim Ying Xuan Singapore General Hospital ePASS Team

Introduction

Patients undergoing elective surgery in SGH receive preoperative anaesthesia risk assessment and counselling at the Pre-Admission Centre (PAC). PAC sees an average of 86 patients a day in 2019, and is projected to rise to 110 patients a day by 2026. The rise in PAC's patient load, in tandem with rising elective surgical load with no commensurate increase in doctor manpower, has led to long waiting time for anaesthesia consultation at PAC. The inefficiency in clearing the high patient load in PAC has also led to negative patient experiences and impaired staff work-life balance.



Methodology



Among patients attending PAC, 10% are low medical-risk patients undergoing low-risk surgical procedures that could have their anaesthesia assessment and counselling performed by trained nurses over-the-phone before their PAC appointment.

Thus, SGH embarked on a multi-disciplinary project comprising doctors, nurses and administrative staff to (1) pre- identify these low-risk patients who can omit seeing an anaesthetist and (2) manage low-risk patients in a less resource-intensive manner via telephone screening (TPS). Thus, allowing SGH to allocate valuable PAC appointment slots for higher-risk or urgent-need patients.

Results		
50%	<u>Summary of Results from June 2020 – June 2021</u>	
SMS response rate	16,965 100%	No. of patients who received ePASS SMS
Out of 8,717 responses received from June 2020 –	8,717 51%	No. of patients who completed ePASS upon receiving SMS


A patient with low risk for surgery is managed via a telephone screening by a nurse

- 1. Development of an Electronic Pre-Anaesthesia
 Self-Screening (ePASS) questionnaire to screen for
 health conditions affecting patients' anaesthesia risk
- Questions were compiled and adapted from published questionnaires in literature, and critically evaluated by a small focus group of non-medical people and anaesthetists to improve questionnaire clarity and reduce unnecessary medical jargon before its deployment.

2. Validation of ePASS self-reported health conditions against anaesthesia consult notes

• Questions which had poor accuracy (low inter-rater reliability between

June 2021, 837 (9.6%) who completed ePASS were recruited for TPS before their PAC appointment




No. of patients eligible for further screening

, 21-64 y/o, low-risk surger

No. of patients recruited for TPS - 837 before PAC visit (79%) and

221 On-site (21%)

Monthly recruitment of TPS patients pre-appointment improved from 20 (2%) from July 2020 to 135 (11%) in June 2021



Annual savings in medical manpower costs due to a reduction of 529 physician consult hours per annum patient's responses and anaesthetists' notes) were refined further.

3. Sending SMSes with links to ePASS questionnaire, to patients before their PAC appointment

• The SMS notification workflow was tweaked to optimize messaging frequency and wording to elicit a high response rate of 50%.

4. Stratification of patients into different risk-groups based on their health demographics

• Low-risk patients are identified as 21 to 64 years of age, with ASA (American Society of Anaesthesiologists) Score of 1, and going for low-risk surgeries. Surgery risk was determined based on an array of considerations including site of surgery, expected duration of surgery and expected blood loss.

5. Training PAC nurses to conduct remote telephone screening (TPS) for low-risk patients

• Patients who had undiagnosed health conditions discovered during



Combined PAC consultation fee savings per annum for TPS patients

O Surgery cancellations due to inadequate risk assessments among TPS group

Conclusion

Our project of developing a self-screening questionnaire that is easily completed by a layperson and yet, accurate in identifying low-risk patients has enabled PAC nurses to identify nearly all eligible patients and conduct telephone screening. This is a sustainable and safe innovation to mitigate rising workload at PAC, in light of shortages of doctors across the public healthcare sector. TPS that require further management may be asked to return for further investigations or assessment by a doctor. Their management are guided by the anaesthesiology consultant covering PAC, which ensures that patients' care isn't compromised.

6. Alternative pathways to manage low-risk and elevated-risk patient groups:

- Patients provisionally identified as low-risk: Reviewed in detail by PAC's nurses to determine their eligibility for telephone screening (TPS). They would verify patients' key responses and conduct anaesthesia-risk counselling.
- Elevated-risk patients: Their ePASS responses would be printed out during their PAC visit, with key responses reviewed by an anaesthetist so that they can conduct a quicker and targeted consultation.