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## 01 <br> BACKGROUND

Annually during the Learning Needs Analysis (LNA) exercise, staff submit learning requests for the approval of HODs, Division Heads and the LNA Panel comprising of C-Suites and Senior Management.
With a finite learning budget, the LNA Panel have to trim departments' wish list:

on Professionalism budget simulation \& panel preparation by LCD

on Hospital-level Reviews by the LNA Panel and LCD

There was a need to enhance the process to save time, reduce wastage.

## 02 AIMS

To rethink and redefine the budgeting strategies and establish the Division Professionalism Indicative Budget* (DPIB) aiming to:


## 03 METHODOLOGY



- Average learning budget allocation \& utilization of each Division
- Profiles of staff who attends Professionalism training


## 2. Design the DPIB* Formula

The formula encompasses both past learning budget allocation \& headcount, and was determined as it acknowledges both Divisions' learning needs \& proportion of staff who are approved or had utilized the budget.


## 3. Implementation

Roadshows were conducted to Division Heads \& C-Suites to share the new approach for LNA, its benefits and to get buy-in. The DPIB* was launched during the FY2018 LNA Exercise.

## 4. Continual Improvement

To motivate Divisions to maximize their allocated budget, their DPIB* is tied to their current Financial Year's utilization rate. Through user feedback, tweaks were also made to individual Division's DPIB* where needed.

| Utilization Rate | Indicative Budget |
| :---: | :---: |
| $85 \%$ \& above | $100 \%$ |
| less than $85 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

## 04 RESULTS

## FOR DEPARTMENTS \& DIVISIONS



$\square$ No. of Staff —Budget Requested by Depts


$$
\underbrace{1,082}_{\$ 1.33 \mathrm{~m}}
$$

1,060

$\checkmark$ Able to prioritize critical needs and focus on submission of learning requests close to or within indicative budget
$\checkmark$ Reduced the time spent on reviewing requests beyond budget availability

man-hours saved yearly
on budget simulation \& panel preparation


## FOR LNA PANEL REVIEWS

(comprising of 9 C-Suites \& Senior Management with LCD)


Positive feedback were also received from Division Heads as the
Positive feedback were also received from Division Heads as the DPIB* empowered them with autonomy in prioritizing their Divisions' requests. This has streamlined the Face-to-Face Panel Reviews over the years. As most Divisions were able to work within their DPIB* in FY20 \& FY21, LCD could further improve the time efficiency for reviews by conducting it over emails.

## 05

## CONCLUSION

[^0]
[^0]:    Well received by CEO, LNA Panel \& Division Heads
    ( Shortened review process, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic
    As a learning organization, the DPIB* demonstrates frust and empowerment for our management to approve their divisional learning needs which is an integral part of building a culture of learning and innovation.
    As learning needs evolve, the formula will be reviewed continually \& updated to ensure that the indicative budget is equitable for Divisions. Moving forward, this may even eliminate the need for Panel Reviews for Professionalism requests.

